Marine Life Protection Act Initiative




Overview of **Draft Marine Protected Area Proposals**

Presentation to the MLPA North Central Coast Regional Stakeholder Group

Evan Fox, Assistant Marine Planner • California MLPA Initiative

February 21, 2008 • San Rafael, CA







Subregion 1: Point Arena - Horseshoe Point

Subregional Considerations

- Persistent upwelling center; extensive rocky reefs, kelp beds; Garcia and Gualala estuaries; sandy offshore
- Existing MPAs: Manchester and Arena Rock SMCA and Del Mar Landing SMP
- Port of Arena: urchin, salmon, nearshore finfish, D.crab
- Recreational abalone fishery, small boat and shore-fishing
- Importance of recreational and commercial fisheries to local economy
- Tribal uses
- · Access points & public/ private lands







Subregion 1: Draft Proposal Comparison

- Existing MPAs: All proposals would eliminate <u>Manchester SMCA</u>, 3 proposals include Del Mar SMP with only Draft Proposal 4 changing it to SMR
- Point Arena
 - All proposals have SMR/SMCA cluster around Point Arena
 - Proposals 1, 3, 4, external A SMCA: would allow only salmon
 - Proposal 2 SMCA: would allow salmon, crab, anchovy, sardine, herring
- Saunders Reef
 - All 4 internal proposals with 2-SMCA clusters
 - Higher protection MPA proposed offshore in 3 proposals (allow only salmon)
 - Because Proposal 4's offshore SMCA is closer to shore, it received a lower level of protection of moderate-high
- Sea Ranch/ Salt Point Area
 - Proposals north-south boundaries vary consideration of access and public/private lands. SMR proposed inshore in all proposals.
 - Proposals 2 and external A have MPA clusters more adjacent to Sea Ranch area, Proposals 1, 3, and 4 are further south of Sea Ranch




Subregion 2: Horseshoe Point - Bodega Head

Subregional Considerations

- Down-current from upwelling center; rocky reefs, kelp beds; numerous sea bird and marine mammal colonies; Russian R. estuary
- Existing: Salt Point SMCA, Gerstle Cove SMCA, Fort Ross SMCA, Sonoma Coast SMCA, Bodega SMR
- Port of Bodega Bay: D.crab; salmon; herring; Dover sole / Thornyheads / Sablefish (DTS)
- Recreational abalone, angling (especially Salt Point, Russian River, Sonoma coast area)







Subregion 2: Draft Proposal Comparison


- Existing MPAs: <u>Salt Point SMCA</u> and <u>Gerstle Cove SMCA</u> were replaced, modified
 or included, depending on proposal. <u>Fort Ross SMCA</u> was eliminated or replaced.
 Most proposals included some of the <u>Sonoma Coast SMCA</u> area in their Bodega
 Bay concept; proposal 3 retains a portion of existing MPA. All proposals modified
 the <u>Bodega SMR</u> to increase in size.
- Salt Point/Gerstle Cove
 - Proposals 1 and 2: SMP would allow recreational abalone and finfish
 - Proposal 3: SMP would allow all recreational take and add mod-high SMCA (would allow salmon & crab) offshore
 - Proposal 4: SMP would keep existing regulations
 - Proposal 2, 4, and A: Change Gerstle Cove to SMR
 - Proposal 1 removes Gerstle Cove, Prop 3 retains existing regulations
- Russian River
 - Proposal 1, 4, Ext. A: propose SMR at mouth of river up to Hwy 101 bridge
 - Proposal 2: mod-low SMCA (would allow take of all species EXCEPT salmon)
 - Proposal 3: significantly larger mod-high SMCA (would allow only crab)
- Bodega Head
 - · All proposed inshore SMR/offshore SMCA
 - SMCAs: Proposals 1 & 4 (would allow only salmon), Proposal 3 & external A (would allow salmon and crab), Proposal 2 (allow salmon, crab, herring, sardine, and anchovy)




Subregion 3: Bodega Head - Double Point

Subregional Considerations

- Highly productive near-shore; retention zone; more limited rocky habitat; numerous estuaries (waterfowl); marine mammal and seabird colonies
- Existing MPAs: Tomales Bay SMP, Point Reyes Headlands SMCA, Estero de Limantour SMCA
- Ports of Bodega (D. crab, salmon, herring, DTS) and Bolinas (D. crab, halibut, salmon, deeper near-shore rockfish)
- Important recreational fishing from Bodega and Bolinas; clamming
- Aquaculture leases Drakes Estero and Tomales Bay







Subregion 3: Draft Proposal Comparison

- Existing MPAs: All proposals, except Prop 2, would modify <u>Tomales Bay SMP</u> to a SMR. Point Reyes Headlands SMCA would modify in all proposals to include SMR/SMCA cluster. All proposed enlarging the <u>Estero de Limantour</u> SMCA and most would change it to an SMR.
- Estero Americano and Estero de San Antonio
 - All proposals but 1 and 4 include SMRs (Proposal 1 includes SMRMAs and Proposal 4 proposes no MPAs)
- Tomales Bay
 - Proposal 1, 3, 4, external A: would enlarge existing MPA and propose SMR
 - Proposal 1 and 4: propose SMRMA (no take, but allows duck hunting)
- Point Reves
 - All had similar MPA cluster (SMR/SMCA), SMR size and boundaries proposed differ to accommodate fishing activities
 - Proposals 1, 3, 4 have mod-high SMCAs (salmon and crab only), Proposal
 2 & external A would allow salmon, crab, anchovy, sardine, herring, squid
- Drakes Bay/ Estero de Limantour
 - All but external A propose SMRs (XA is an SMCA allowing mariculture)




Subregion 4: Double Point - Point San Pedro

Subregional Considerations

- Influenced by outflow from San Francisco Bay; broad sandy shelf; marine mammal and seabird colonies)
- Existing MPAs: Duxbury Reef SMCA
- Ports of San Francisco (D. crab, salmon, halibut, DTS complex) and Bolinas (D. crab, halibut, salmon, deeper near-shore rockfish)
- Recreational vessels from San Francisco ports (e.g., Party boats), small boat angling









Subregion 4: Draft Proposal Comparison

- Existing MPA: Proposals 1, 3 and 4 proposed to modify or replace <u>Duxbury</u> <u>Reef SMCA</u>. Proposals 2 and external A propose to eliminate.
- Duxbury
 - Proposals 1 and 4: Three MPAs: inshore SMR, offshore moderate-high SMCA (allow salmon and crab), and an SMCA to the south which would allow salmon, crab, commercial halibut and recreational finfish from shore.
 - Proposal 3: Inshore SMR and offshore SMCA (same regulations and boundaries as Proposal 1 and 4) without an additional SMCA to the south.
 - · Proposals 2 and A: Propose no MPAs in this area








Subregion 5: Draft Proposal Comparison

- Existing MPA: All proposals would modify <u>James V. Fitzgerald SMP with</u> SMR/SMCA cluster
- Fitzgerald
 - Proposal 1: only "stack" configuration; SMCA would allow salmon, crab, wetfish
 - Proposals 2 and external A leaves area to north (San Pedro Point) open;
 SMCA would allow salmon, crab, anchovy, sardine and herring
 - Proposals 3 and 4: SMCA would allow salmon and crab
- San Gregorio
 - · Proposal 4 only proposal with SMR here
- Pescadero
 - Proposal 3 would include an SMR at Pescadero Estuary






Subregion 6: Farallon Islands

Subregional Considerations

- Shallow/deep rock and sand; unique habitats downstream from upwelling center; globally important for seabirds, mammals, and great white sharks
- Existing MPAs: Farallon Islands SMCA
- Ecotourism (whale watching, seabird and marine mammal watching, etc)
- Accessed by comm. fishing boats from Bolinas, San Francisco, Half Moon Bay (salmon, rockfish, squid)
- Recreational party boats, private boats (rockfish, salmon, etc)
- · Anchoring/safety concerns





Subregion 6: Draft Proposal Comparison

- Existing MPA: <u>Farallone Islands SMCA</u> was proposed for modification by all proposals.
- North Farallon
 - No MPA proposed in proposals 2 and external A
 - · Proposal 1: SMR proposed to state waters in NW quadrant
 - Proposal 3: SMR/SMCA to state waters in NW quadrant (moderate-high SMCA allow only salmon)
 - Proposal 4: SMR to state waters in the north (same SMR shape as proposal 3)
- Southeast Farallon
 - · All propose SMR around island; Proposal 2 leaves north open
 - All propose salmon only SMCA but External Proposal A only moderate-high SMCA because extends into shallow areas
- Farallons Islands overall
 - Proposal 3: puts remaining area within state waters as moderate-low SMCA (only prohibits sardine, anchovy, squid)



Summary

- Draft MPAs proposed in similar geographies, but with different regulations and configurations
- Guidance from the task force, science team, and CA Department of Fish and Game, and feedback from the public, to inform revisions for third and final round of proposals
- Clearly areas of similarity where proposals could converge; areas with differences that may not get resolved and would represent policy choices for task force